

Kevin Girard

From: Christina Martin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: September-18-17 9:31 AM
To: Kevin Girard
Subject: Parking on Thorncrest Street

Good morning,

It has recently come to my attention that there is a proposal to have one-sided parking on Thorncrest Street. I will unfortunately be unable to attend the public input session - as I run my own business and was not given adequate notice to change my schedule in time. However, I would like to reiterate some of the points and concerns that Ryan Sanger has outlined in a previous email.

Notably:

- that I feel neither side of Thorncrest requires a 'No Parking' sign at the present time: there are very rare situations where two approaching cars meet and have to give way
- doubling up parking on one side of the street would create a thoroughfare for traffic to have increased speeds on the street: we already see quite a bit of speeding on Sumac's cul-de-sac

I agree with his suggested alternatives which include:

1. Leaving parking available on both sides of the street
2. Rotating 'No Parking' between the South and North sides on a monthly basis.
3. Outlaw Street Parking completely on Thorncrest or limit to 1 or 2 hours at a time.

Thank you for your time,

Dr. Christina Martin
BSc.H, MSc., DVM
Owner, Lakeside Animal Hospital
[REDACTED]

Kevin Girard

From: Ryan Sanger [REDACTED]
Sent: September-15-17 10:01 AM
To: Kevin Girard
Cc: Tony Gaffan
Subject: Traffic By-Law Amendments- Thorncrest St.

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Kevin Girard and members of council,

I am writing this email to be included in the public comments for the September 19, 2017 public input session specifically as it applies to the No Parking signs installed on the North side of Thorncrest St.

I am a resident of Thorncrest St. on the South side. I understand the complaints resulting in a request for one sided parking are not specific to either side of the street. The Town has identified 2 fire hydrants on the North side of the street which would impact number of spaces available resulting in their decision as proposed. I would bring to your attention a 3rd fire hydrant on the south side of Thorncrest and west side of Sumac that would impact parking space on the south side of Thorncrest. The net impact is a loss of only 1 parking spot if the South was chosen over the North side for No Parking.

However, in my opinion neither side of Thorncrest requires a 'No Parking' sign at the present time. At any given time the cars are sporadically parked along both sides of the street and there are rarely situations with cars parked directly across from each other. As a result in the rare circumstance where two cars are approaching from opposite directions it is common practise for one side to wait while the other car passes. On a non-thoroughfare type residential street such as Thorncrest this is a non-issue. In fact it actually helps to regulate (slow down) traffic to ensure young children can safely play near the road and on the sidewalks close to the road.

If one side was chosen as No Parking you would double up the parking on the other side. This would create a straight thoroughfare for traffic increasing speeds on the street. Additionally, the street sweeper would never get close to the curb on the street with double the parking and the snow plow would never effectively clean the snow leaving potentially inaccessible driveways on one side of the street. I do not feel it is right to unfairly burden either side of the street (the South side as proposed) for the reasons above.

As an aside, as I am unaware of when the complaint was made, but I would like to add that Thorncrest has seen the sale of about 5 or 6 houses in the last year. Most of these sales resulted in families moving out and moving in at the same time and were all clustered in the same section of Thorncrest (40's-60's). I remember at that time one family had a large trailer parked on the street for a 2-3 week period and there was a lot of activity on both sides of the road. I can confirm that the trailer is no longer there and the street parking activity has subsided to normal. I strongly believe this was a short term pain and as a resident I was definitely impacted but I understood given the turnover of housing. I don't feel that this would warrant a By-Law amendment to parking on the street.

In Conclusion:

My thoughts on how to proceed:

1. Leave parking available on both sides of the street

2. Rotate 'No Parking' between the South and North sides on a monthly basis. Sign cost minimal. By-law enforcement costs increased but arguably insignificant. Would require monitoring and enforcement on the first 2-3 days of each month and on an 'as needed' (compliant) basis from there.
3. Outlaw Street Parking completely on Thorncrest or limit to 1 or 2 hours at a time.

Thank you for your time,

Ryan Sanger

Kevin Girard

From: matthew carter [REDACTED]
Sent: October-02-17 5:27 AM
To: Kevin Girard
Subject: Parking on Thorncrest

Hello Kevin,

I live on Thorncrest Street and I am vehemently against the proposed and any similar parking restrictions. I drive on my street multiple times a day and maybe about twice a month I may have to pull over to the side to let someone go by. It takes less than ten seconds. That is twenty seconds a month of minor courtesy compared to 24/7 of adhering to a useless law. Not to mention that one side of the street will constantly be annoyed with cars parked in front of their house that are not theirs, most likely forcing them to park farther down the street. While the other side will never be able to park in front of their house. It is great now-with few exceptions everybody has the ability to park in front of their own house. That is worth twenty seconds or less of time a month.

I know that this is coming in late-I apologize for that but I would like to know when a decision is made on this and what it is.

Thanks
M. Carter

Kevin Girard

From: cityworks@countyofessex.on.ca
Sent: September-22-17 12:55 PM
Subject: Request # 16364 has been Created

Request: MISCELLANEOUS STREET 16364
THE TOWN OF KINGSVILLE
SERVICE REQUEST

<u>Request ID:</u>	16364
<u>Dispatched To:</u>	
<u>Contractor:</u>	
<u>Incident Address:</u>	Lakeview Ave
<u>Incident Location:</u>	Lakeview Ave
<u>Problem Code:</u>	MISCELLANEOUS STREET
<u>Incident Details</u>	
<u>Submitted To:</u>	GIRARD, KEVIN
<u>Caller Comments:</u>	
<u>Incident Comments:</u>	<p>By SANTOS, LINDA: 9/22/2017 12:55:12 PM</p> <p>Hello. My name is Steve Whitfield. I live at 251 Lakeview Ave. Kingsville. We are just Down the street from southwestern terminal. I was appalled to hear from a neighbour that attended the towns information session about truck traffic, that one of options presented was to divert all truck traffic down Lakeview ave and up Wiggle. This is a very bad idea (if it's true) my house has small children and the multi unit housing across from us is filled with young families with small children. There is a disproportionate amount of small children running onto the roads on our street. Just last week i personally grabbed a 2 year old child that had run into the road to play in water being flushed from a nearby fire hydrant(you can ask the city worker that was flushing the hydrant, he saw the whole thing). Truck traffic being diverted down lakeview affects quality of life. We already have non stop trucks driving down lakeview. In fact we just assumed the proposal being brought was to divert all truck traffic up division road! Not the other way around!!! Something needs to be done to STOP trucks from driving down lakeview ave. Now before someone gets hurt or killed. What about making a road between industrial road through too Main Street?</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p> <p>Steve Whitfield 251 Lakeview Ave Kingsville N9y1n8</p>

Kevin Girard

From: Lisa Taylor [REDACTED]
Sent: September-15-17 1:38 PM
To: Kevin Girard
Subject: FW: "NO TRUCK" Meeting 9/19/2017

Importance: Low

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Lisa Taylor [mailto:lisa-nsc@bellnet.ca]
Sent: September 15, 2017 8:46 AM
To: 'Jake Neudorf' <jake-nsc@bellnet.ca>
Subject: "NO TRUCK" Meeting 9/19/2017
Importance: Low

Kevin Girard

In response to the "No Truck" route being implemented on Division St. S.

We, Neudorf Stamping Corporation, at 200 Lakeview Ave. have been at this location since February 28, 1988. Almost 30 years.

We are one of many businesses on Lakeview and surrounding area which rely heavily on incoming and outgoing Trucks. Having All the truck traffic only on Wigle Street will cause

production problems and wait times as they travel through town. Wigle and Main Street intersection is already extremely congested without this rule in place. Hwy # 3 is the main truck entrance to Kingsville leading to Division Rd.

Rerouting trucks to go further through town is environmentally worse, as well as more dangerous for pedestrians and going through school areas. Our property taxes to this Town are almost \$30,000 per year therefore we feel as though we as well as

The other businesses in this area, deserve to have a say in this matter and we feel that this is not logical for any of us.

Lisa Taylor
Office Manager
Neudorf Stamping Corp.
P:519-733-8405 F:519-733-5074
Lisa-nsc@bellnet.ca
www.neudorfstamping.com

Kevin Girard

From: Dave Jackson [REDACTED]
Sent: September-19-17 11:09 AM
To: Kevin Girard
Subject: Truck Traffic

Good Morning;

This email is to provide our input to the proposal to restrict truck traffic on Division South and Wigle Avenue for portions of the year.

First of all, how many complaints were received about truck traffic on these streets?

We operate a trucking company and a truck and trailer repair business, both on Wigle Ave. Proposed restrictions would add costs and pollution as trucks would have to take a longer route to get here. This would affect all businesses that utilize trucks in the area bounded by Main, Division, Wigle and the lake, which translates into a LOT of trucks.

Has anyone considered the impact at the Main and Division intersection? When truck traffic is restricted to Wigle, any truck headed for Division north will be forced to make a right turn at this intersection. Traffic is already terribly slow here, and you are proposing to make it worse. When traffic is restricted to Division, trucks heading east on Main will be forced to make a right turn at the same intersection. If you're not familiar with tractor trailers, they need about 3 lanes width to make these right turns, and often have to wait quite a while to get an opening in traffic so they can safely make these corners. The backups at the main intersection will get much worse.

The proposal won't decrease traffic, it would only concentrate the traffic to one area. Trucks aren't driving down these roads for fun, they are there to service the industries that provide a lot of jobs and a lot of tax revenue to the town.

We oppose ANY restrictions to truck traffic on both Wigle and Division South.

And since we're talking about traffic, why not decrease the green light time for north/south traffic on Division, and increase green light time for East/West traffic on Main? We have all sat in that lineup, while very few vehicles are going on Division, traffic backs up sometimes as far as the High School!

Thank you

Dave Jackson

519-733-6331 (P)

888-908-8266(F)

Kevin Girard

From: Patti Howe [REDACTED]
Sent: September-19-17 12:57 PM
To: Kevin Girard
Subject: Traffic by-law amendments

Sept. 19/2017.

From: Ron Howe
owner - Howe Paving
159 Wigle Ave.
Kingsville, Ont

To: Kevin Girard

Re: No Truck route on Wigle Ave, or Division St. South

Hi Kevin, Im sorry that I cant make the meeting tonight. But i have some concerns about this matter.
I feel, there are too many businesses in the area proposed, that are in the need of trucks using these roads.

Just to name a few, myself - Howe Paving, also Pete N Annes Trucking, Percissions Spring, Kingsville Stamping,
Kingsville Plastic, Southwestern sales,
La Nassa Food, and Brians.

These restrictions could cause a major distrubance in any of these businesses. By denying them access to their place of business -- because of a NO TRUCK route.

I feel very stongly that this distrubance of the flow of trucks will cause more of a traffic problem. The intersection of Wigle Ave. and Main Street, can not adecuately handle a truck coming from the west, trying to turn right onto Wigle Ave, as there is no right-hand turn provision in place.

At one time --- the town accepted Wigle Ave. as an industrial area, and I am wondering why, the town would consider abandoning this plan. With the amount of businesses located in the area... as i stated above.

As a business owner, on Wigle Ave. and a resident of Kingsville for 60 years, I feel this proposal for a no truck route is unacceptable.

Thank You for listening to my concerns

Ron Howe/Howe Paving

Kevin Girard

From: Nelson Santos
Sent: September-27-17 7:46 PM
To: Steve Whitfield
Cc: Kevin Girard
Subject: RE: Southwestern truck traffic

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good afternoon Steve,

Thank you for your message and outlining your concerns in the area. Firstly, I apologize for the delay in responding but wanted to acknowledge the time you took to reach out.

The town management team held a public forum and open house style last week, to basically get a better pulse of the concerns that have been identified over the recent months to past year about traffic, and truck traffic from this long-established and pretty much founding area of Kingsville.

The meeting was meant to have a good discussion and allow residents to put forward their concerns publically. At the meeting, I can confirm that the administration did put forward the suggestion of routing the truck traffic strictly to the Wigle Avenue corridor while previously they were also looking for comments about alternating the hours of when truck traffic could come through with Wigle or Division Street South. Based on the discussion at the meeting, there didn't appear to be any concrete support to make such a change and will likely not see that recommended in the future presentation. Realistically, both these roadways have served the industry/commercial/harbour area for truck traffic cohesively and as a shared responsibility. The meeting, did have residents speak in favour of maintaining the shared responsibility recognizing that the location of the destination points have benefits specific to their trip. It was about 4-5 years ago when the Town last looked at the truck routing through the municipality and since then not much has changed in terms of the presence of manufacturing (for sport/automotive/agriculture), fish processing, electrical, & aggregate transportation. All of which contribute to the economic vitality of our town but granted also contribute to the traffic along each roadway in some form. While new planning principles are pulling industrial developments from establishing in the core of municipalities, that was not the plan many decades ago when they originated and established themselves in this area all around the working harbour, the old railroad network and even rail car transit that existed. 50 per cent of the original warehousing and production plants have since closed between Lansdowne and Park Street but what remains is a footprint of the town's original employment area.

In terms of next steps, I am anticipating a follow-up report from administration to be presented at a future council meeting where any potential amendments to the current traffic by-law might be suggested. Looking forward, any amendments to the bylaw would always include the potential impacts to community safety along with many other circumstances including financial.

In terms of your reference to a new roadway extending at the dead end of Industrial road, that too was suggested at the public meeting as well as four years ago. From the discussion four years ago, and as you might expect the neighbouring subdivisions to the east and west of the parcel along with the three homes at the entrance to Main Street vehemently opposed such a new road and the owners of the farmland at that time saw a significant loss in their ability to farm the property and thus impacted their livelihood. Such a new road would also require the introduction of a new traffic light onto Main street for the trucks and the rest of the community has already referenced Main Street East having too many lights in play at the current time creating traffic flow concerns for all of Main Street.

In general, the discussion that was had with the public was good, in my opinion, and provided some insight into the long established nature of this area. It remains an important issue to keep in mind going forward and allowing for public input will remain key.

Hopefully this helps in responding to your email. But am happy to follow-up with you again at any time and for any initiatives that may help address some additional safety efforts in your immediate area...through signage, enforcement, etc.

Appreciate your time,
Nelson

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve Whitfield [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 12:51 PM
To: Nelson Santos <nsantos@kingsville.ca>
Subject: Southwestern truck traffic

>
> Hello. My name is Steve Whitfield. I live at 251 Lakeview Ave. Kingsville. We are just Down the street from southwesterns terminal. I was appalled to hear from a neighbour that attended the towns information session about truck traffic, that one of options presented was to divert all truck traffic down Lakeview ave and up Wiggle. This is a very bad idea (if it's true) my house has small children and the multi unit housing across from us is filled with young families with small children. There is a disproportionate amount of small children running onto the roads on our street. Just last week i personally grabbed a 2 year old child that had run into the road to play in water being flushed from a nearby fire hydrant(you can ask the city worker that was flushing the hydrant, he saw the whole thing). Truck traffic being diverted down lakeview affects quality of life. We already have non stop trucks driving down lakeview. In fact we just assumed the proposal being brought was to divert all truck traffic up division road! Not the other way around!!! Something needs to be done to STOP trucks from driving down lakeview ave. Now before someone gets hurt or killed. What about making a road between industrial road through too Main Street?
> Thank you for your time.
>
> Steve Whitfield
> 251 Lakeview Ave Kingsville
> N9y1n8