As Kingsville residents we are concerned about both the process for approval of minor variances including the peripheral implications, as well as the situation in our particular area. A flawed process has resulted in the need for us to go the route of a petition. The petition has received overwhelming support with about 170 signatures that represent 115 homes, of the 145 residences in this area. If a minor variance had not been requested to construct a home at 866 Erie W. the issue of misrepresenting a converted dwelling or a semi-detached dwelling as a single-detached dwelling would never have come to light. Neighbours should not be responsible for policing new construction in their neighbourhood.

The situation I am referring to, is a builders request to construct a "a single-family dwelling" (term used in the towns definition section is single-detached dwelling) "without garage and including a secondary dwelling unit (shown on Applicants Sketch)." At the committee of Adjustments meeting on May 18 2021, the variance was not approved. However, the issue of a 2-unit home in an area designated as LR-1, (only single-detached dwellings) does not seem to have been resolved. The proposed home would be classified as a semi-detached, or converted dwelling, neither of which can be located in this area (plans 1022 & 1169). In the definitions section for the town, no two-unit term was found. High density, affordable housing is the current provincial notion that would be more applicable to the GTA and Golden Horseshoe, but not in this area of Kingsville. We are too far away from the two critical variables for affordable housing: proximity to schools and grocery stores. The provincial regulations imply that for a converted home, the initial residence would be modified at some later date, not built concurrently. Also, the owner would be a resident of one unit, and landlord for the second unit. Again, semi-detached homes are not authorized for this area (Plans 1022 & 1169).

As one of the oldest "subdivisions" in Kingsville we are proposing the following:

We recognize the importance of following the provincial guidelines that indicate the need for affordable housing, however this is not the area [plans 1022 and 1169] where it should be done. For this area, represented by these two plans, we think that infilling on a 35 or 40 foot lot, of sufficient depth, with a single detached dwelling would be acceptable. Only homes that have a minimum of 70 foot frontage would be permitted to develop the second unit, but only after the first unit was present. Again the resident-landlord regulation would apply to keep this area from becoming primarily rentals. We would like council to accept this as a motion to preserve the integrity and ambiance of the area.

To focus on the process....

There is a ton of construction taking place locally and no doubt both the planning and building departments are stretched to meet the demand. However, there are other examples within these two plans where variances were approved that are questionable with respect to the municipal guidelines. In these cases the final construction does not fit into the neighbourhood. Fitting-in to an existing area is one of the goals of the provincial guidelines. A variance request provides information about the footprint of a proposed construction, but nothing about the height or "bulk", so some imposing structures have been built. It has been explained that privacy issues prevent other information being made to the adjacent neighbours. So, under the guise of privacy, irregularities are being approved.

Examples include a huge two story home on a 40x 100 foot lot that does not appear to conform to the 40% by-law. Neighbours were told that it would be only a single story, so did not oppose the request. Also the time of year was late fall so few were aware of the request.

A second example involves two enormous two story garages (on different properties) that could have an apartment on the upper floor. Both garages seem to push the regulations to their limits, again a question of **fit** to the neighbourhood.

A third situation involves line-of-sight on Waterview. There is a proposal to build 25 feet out beyond the line of existing homes. It has been rumoured that the old/former line-of-sight by-law was repealed within the last few years. Yet no information about how or when this took place seems to be available to the neighbours involved. This example also contravenes the fit-in concept. With two vacant homes, on this street, this line-of-sight could again become an issue.

Neighbours are put in an awkward position to oppose minor variance requests, as opposition can create hard feelings in a neighbourhood. Also, the turn around time to respond to the variance request, in our case 866 Erie was extremely short (less than a week). This process needs rethinking to allow oversight by Council, who are elected members of the community.

We would like to meet with the Council to elaborate our position, make the petition available, and answer questions.



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petitioner		Con	tact Address	Signature
5 Boger				Jagnature.
Name	Phone/	Email	Address	Signature
AFN KAMSEY			LIATERUIEU	
Dob Hajda			468 "	
ANDYMCKEOP			466 n	" J m 8 16 1
HERRY BOGERT			460 u	5 Bogest
MUCH LEONARD			494 Wateri	iew (frostast
eda Leonard			45H Watervie	W Leonard
educa Kornerab			450 Warni	W ADAROR
ndrea Kornelsch			417 Pearse	Rd. William
HAM FUCKAR			405 learse	Re Hanchen
DOUR SUCHANT			405 fearse	Kd Mil Shorter
			383 REALS	to the
FORB (Shant)			1000	De T
260			488 Bulguer	
earring Karch			436 wpreed	
11 hones.			468 Naterview	I geomina Aasida



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petition	ner Co	ontact Address	Signature
H			7-8-nacm c
Name	Phone/Email	Address	Signature
Drecka, o		870 Parklo	uner . D
trastal .		The state of the s	" O Subot
a par		450 WATERSHIEM	B CLOHN ARROWSMIT
SOR N (CON)		383 JEANSIE V	G. Jan
Beisha Muers		393 Fearsex	& K. Nixon
then MYERS		472 Wedprview	ld hollses.
27NN MYERS		1185 CAMPBEL	
MARIE LEILI		865 BAYVIER	1 La Myersol
LES Spencer		GIÓ D	Moderli
Et Spencer		912 BAYLIGH	100 au 5
nark Simpson.		437 Forman K	
aurie Simpson :		437 Formar Ro	1 Dimosox
atalie Crowe 5		464 Heritage Ro	4 MIIIO
		J^{-1}	1/1000



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petiti	Principal Petitioner		tact Address	Signature
KIAL DeLauri	el	18645	Erie W Kingsville	1 0 1
Name	Phon	e/Email	Address	Wix De Jame Signature
SHAWN KWIAT			428 HERITAGE R	The state of the s
MARIE LOPATA			853 PARK AVE	M. Y
PAVOL LOPATA			853 PARK AVE	1991+
Doua Nolan			846 Park Ave.	Andrew
Hishley Fleining			870 Park Ave	0 + C Dolor
Joe Baghafietur			894 PARK AVE	W Yndia Linky
NEIC WARE			906 PARKAUE	- William
Kyle Teskey			912 Park Ave	1/14/26
M BRIEN SORRELL			897 PAQ + AU	May So walt
Susan Sorrell			897 PARIL AVE	Sugar James 100
Dorothy Finlay			911 ParkAve	Oprothy 2: Da
SORD FINLAM			911 Park Alle	Want Dist
Rob MINDZAK			921 PARK AVE.	Jan D.
	-			Z 7 2000



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petit	tioner	Con	tact Address	Signature
Julie & Dave				Dignature
Name	Phone	/Email	Address	Signature
Sillie Elee Poutteran				Signature
Ganery Sal Gambio			847 Evie Ave	loca out
) and Gloasen			The Piot	000
ESE KUNGEL			841 Errellig 842 E4E AVO	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
KANDHAIRA	4.5		Carlo Charles and Alexander an	
PAULA TRIPP			863KRIST AU	The state of
VILLE + Druggeys	RS		875 GRIE AVE	and a
MARC MASSE	**************************************		444 HERITAGE	44180
2996221222	3		HOULE	Mare Sufe.
Countletas.			Herikage 46(HER 1716	
			461 HERITAG	E Mouhoso
9 House.	The state of the s			



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

hiz Jackson Principal Petitio	oner Con	tact Address	Signature	
MARIE LEIL	1 865 Ba	yviewAve.	Mane Legli	
Name	Phone/Email	Address	Signature	
WEN TOWARY		4 859 BAYUZEWA	is Lipyman	
OSS A NESBIH		889 BATUIELI	[dff]	
that Nepit		1889 Bayvier	3 Huster	
CTT y Jacks		410 Heritage		
iz Vackson		410 Heritag	e To Jackson	
Bradie Hedges		419 BAYUIGUTT	PAN Bally	
vick Haines		864 Bayview An	le Altredores	
Blian George		864 Bayview A	re NHAMES	
piparatha Grage		SEER LY VIOU	Au S	
MATT VERMETTE		988 Rayin		
lina Antonucci		994 Baying Ave		
lece Bonnergo		856 Bayriew Au	1 73	
1 Bonnevie		10-10 11 :		
WValley		900 BAUNGUL AIL	We Gerard Borne	



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petitio	ner Cor	ntact Address	Signature
FRANK			Signature
Name	Phone/Email	Address	Signature
Frank Froese		861 Wride Au	Signature
Vancy Froese			
Jary 8/123		861 Wride A	The state of the s
Dete 81:25 6		875 MAHAID	or ufform ELS
levise baliberte		875Malott Au	e Ket Elias
DINA CODALGUES		889 MalottAve	= Newse Laliforto
2-11-1/ 11		888 MAUST	Aug. Xon
DILL GROLL		911 Hallattk	re len ST
Mike Soul		810 MALOTT	at wille
HULL JOHN		2371 Herita	I Angol -
von Dorige		-859 WA 1013	Valor
INDA NORMAN		380 HERITHGE KI). Ironan
long NORMAN		75- 11	D Tour Noman
lim Angerman		869 MalottAv	
3			- 1400
o house.		The Market Committee of the Committee of	



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petitioner Contact Address		Signature	
And the state of t			
Phone/Em	ail Address	Signature	
	959 ERIE A	we w feet burant	
	1 12 12	1 ADM DIA	
	and former and a second to the second and a second	the state of the s	
	975 FRE AV	() () () () () () () () () ()	
	979 Erie A	YEW Good Bus	
	943	" (Nast	
	952 Erie	Ave an has lepoly	
	952 Frie Ai	e. Hungar bhadish	
	933. EREA	IE PURATA	
	1	and the second	
	The state of the s	and the second s	
	905 Eve	And Rhay	
	905 E 18	Avy Coffet to	
		Phone/Email Address 959 ERIE A 959 Erie AV 952 Erie AV 975 ERIE AV 975 ERIE AV 979 Erie AV 979 Erie AV 979 Erie AV 979 Erie AV 973 ERIE AV 901 Erre AVE 905 FIE	



10

Petition to the Town of Kingsville

We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life <u>and</u> our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petition	ier Co	ontact Address	Signature
Daura S.			8
Name	Phone/Email	Address	Signature
Laura Seabone		843 Wride Au	Digitature
Mildred MALOTA		838 (1 · 6	- Broken
Randy Coussens		828 Wride A	Mulded Trale
Beth Haryett			we Koassens
AL KLESA		853 Unide A	We Beth Haryett
ILEX MUCCI		866 Wride Avenue	Je ceruj
TENNIS DRESSER		844WRIDE AUG	Attentiqued
Dorothy Sucular		CAA A	12 the Other
John Bergeron		852 Write N. 865 Wride Ave	Gualle MIL
Jeone Scoboure		843 Whole Hole	- Juges
		012 WYW KX	- Robert
	The state of the s		
8 homes		and an a Process of the Property of the Section 1995 of the Sectio	



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore</u> Residential (LR-1), and to deny the proposed construction of a 2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling at 866 Erie Ave and any future proposed developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with no communication to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Name and Address of the Owner o	act Address	Signature
476	1/4	All Mary
ne/Email	Address	Signature
	893 wride are	Apadel rable
	905 Wride Ave	HA-Bry
		2/ Cuy the for
		BH RY
	951 Wride	Merasa Lone
	155 wall	The Maghe
	959 Wride	Bento
	963 Wride Ave	Champean
	967 " "	MM Keig
	971 WANDAUG	they m
	701 119 491-AUE	Marcella
	TUI TRIOT AVE	12 0/12 /0ep
	All sections and the section of the	
	ne/Email	893 wride and 905 Wride Ave 929 While Ave 931 Wride Hoe 943 Wride Hoe 951 Wride 959 Wride 963 Wride Ave 967 ""



We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petition	oner	Contact Address	Signature
Aline Laffee	ke 90	69 Eric Auc 343 264-2659	17 16 1
Name	Phone/Em	ail Address	Signature
Josh M'Kellar		914 Ene Ap	
Kelley Herce		914 Eve Ave	W Cater
KUSERT AZCAN		870F3F H-	en Total aller
DIHNWE HUAN		890 ERIE ALE	
Han Lewes		920 Erre Ave	w m
EFF CORNETT		958 ERIE AVE	w/date
DUSE VITTE		958 ERIEN	E PRALIFIETHE
rec flermott		968 trie As	· Mu
AN THERMIST		1/38 8/218 14	15 Miny Meller
Jorg Dann		378 EVIE	to Cose Vann
2		396 Eric Av u	CAN TOK-IN
Ricole Bott ston		894 FreAc	Leen a
		ALD ENCAR	N Med Battotan
actica Sulla		920 Ere Av.	AW THE

Armand La Fleche Wallena Olacymail.com
969 Erie Ave W. Rattacke



SE

Petition to the Town of Kingsville

We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to <u>uphold</u> the present zoning of this area: <u>Lakeshore</u>

<u>Residential</u> (LR-1), and to <u>deny</u> the proposed construction of a <u>2 Unit</u> <u>Single-Detached</u>

<u>Dwelling</u> at 866 Erie Ave and <u>any future proposed</u> developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with <u>no communication</u> to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life <u>and</u> our property values
- setting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Petitioner		Con	tact Address	Cignotare
Oristan				Signature
Name	Phone	/Email	Address	Signature
(RITTON			954 W/P/05	
Marilena 1			as Wride Ave	, Jakaros
5 Mustre moce			1884 WNO6+	
RENTRLESH	K		900 WRIDE AV	
1071 MOSEY			906 WRIPE	Jon Mosa
Jan Mosey			906 Wide	Con Diday
ambadord			934 Wride	Becall a si
Christing Bradte	<u> </u>		3 934 Wride	Christian Braller
author Dir	C		9158 Write	Ch 3
Make Hisson	<u> </u>		958 Wride	400
Thuspine (10()	The state of the s		962 Wridean	e Ger
Jod 10C1			962 Wide ave	Roll
JAPIIC Wheek	- Saute		978 (JKDE)	Ve This Which
John Munno			974 WKIDE M	The Court
MANNON PRICHURDSON			GULLRIDGAVI	Richard

Tom Richardson 5/9 233 8/66 966 Wrich Am Program 5/9 233 8/66 966 Wrich Am Program 5/9 233 8/66 966 Wrich Am Program 5/9 6/3 8628 942 Wride Ave Total School Cristy Hargel 912 wride 4/3 sender 5/9 6/13 8628 942 Wride 5/9 6/13 8628 942 Wr











mi snerry:

Ron and I have read the petition. We agree and support it and wish for our names to be added to the said petition. Since we do not have a printer at the trailer nor a smart phone, this email is our only way of responding.

Barb and Ron Fick



me 6:03 PM to barb >

(m) 00

OK will do

Hi Sherry:

Ron and I have read the petition. We agree and support it and wish for our names to be added to the said petition. Since we do not have a printer at the trailer nor a smart phone, this email is our only way of responding.

Barb and Ron Fick

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Sherry Bogert

Sent: July 27, 2021 6:22 PM

To: barb fick

Subject: Re: Petition

Another idea!!!



(13)

Petition to the Town of Kingsville

We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens of Linden Beach (Plan 1022 and 1169) who call upon Town Council to uphold the present zoning of this area: Lakeshore Residential (I.R-1), and to deny the proposed construction of a 2 Unit Single-Detached Dwelling at 866 Erie Ave and any future proposed developments of multi-unit dwellings in our area. This proposed development was approved with no communication to the residents of the community nor any opportunity for their input.

This type of development will negatively impact our area by:

- changing the historic nature and character of the neighborhood
- increasing traffic and parking hazards
- accelerating the cutting of mature trees thereby affecting bird and animal habitat
- augmenting noise and light pollution to a natural area of large lots and without street lights
- decreasing our quality of life and our property values
- sciting a precedent for this type of development intensification in a neighborhood of single family homes

Principal Peti	Principal Petitioner		er Contact Address		Signature	
Name	Phone	/Email	Address		Signature	1.1
Kevin Kocot			871 ParkAJE	2.545	How	p >>
			Kingsville O	nt		
aura Kocot	De la Maria		879 Park	and the same of th	Dawako	col
			Kingsville	Onl		-
and the second s			0		A THE STATE	
and the state of t						
e e annualização e apprehensivo diferença dos mendidos mendidos de calendarios distantes de	purchase and region and recovery and recovery distance.					
a statement de care i resi i e si i escola della della della i e e di care della della della della della della						-
	-		Lywes Control by	1115	Mark Control	
		Care also sa hidus	E-SAUGEAGO ANALOGO	12 10 A W F	FINAL DESIGNATION	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
And San Comment and	All states of the				Additional Control of the Control of	
			(a) improved a color			3-1-1-5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
THE SHIPS			r development of	gio, en	对自1946、发生1000	No. of the
1 300	1845 1 500	first to be			Management of the State of the	was subcommon
			nn	A SECTION .	in the land	Lexistia