

Appendix D

Tim Del Greco

From: Chris Hamm [REDACTED]
Sent: April 25, 2021 8:52 PM
To: Tim Del Greco
Subject: RE: 1345 Road 2 East

Hi Tim

Thanks for the email.

I think I have more questions now.

So what is the dollar amount and distance in KM for this project?
What is Kingsville Debt at? Are we running a surplus?

I think that bike lanes for road 2 is a bad idea. Move the bike lanes to Seacliff or road 20 or 18 what ever you call it now or 3rd con. or the best is use the Green Way. What really should happen is that both lanes needs to have paved shoulders on Road 2. You know how many times you see a car with it's 4 ways pulled over and talking on the phone. Basically blocking half the road since there is essentially no shoulder. This is really a safety hazard. If there was a shoulder bikes could use it also. No need for the multi-use pathways.

Use the Green Way instead of the multi-use pathway, that you want to build. That is why it was put there.

I think Additional street lights for this street is not a wise use of tax payers money. I don't want the light pollution or pay for the lighting. That is why I am in the country. Maybe put them on the Green Way.

I have to disagree with the active transportation facilities plans. Change the current safety design standards for the curb. Bad idea. Go back to the drawing board I know there was other options.

As for the culvert if you are removing it I think it would be fair for the town to reimburse the money I spent on it.

I am against the project as it is right now. I Also don't like 1.2 meter grass buffer. So who is going to mow it?

I am sure you guys will force it though. If you do I want my drive driveway to be a min. of 45 to 50' wide with no curb..Please

This project is very disappointing to me living on this Road. Do we need road 2 repaved and wider with paved shoulders yes. But that is all it needs. Like old hwy 3 to Leamington.

I seems that governments love to spend tax payers money and go into debt for it.

Chris H

On 04/23/21, **Tim Del Greco** <tdelgreco@kingsville.ca> wrote:

Good morning Chris,

Thank you for your email. I can provide you with some brief answers.

1. The majority of cost for reconstruction of Road 2 East is to be funded by collected development charges. Approximately 75% of the project will be funded by development charges with the remaining 25% funded by taxation. I can't tell you if this will result in an increase in your taxes as tax rates fluctuate every year based on many variables.
2. There are 2 street lights proposed in front of your property, one west of your driveway and the other near the east property line. There are a number of new street lights proposed down Road 2 East. The installation of an urbanized road with an active transportation pathway results in increased lighting in accordance with current roadway lighting safety design standards. That said, we will use light fixtures that minimize the amount of light directed onto private property.
3. Yes, it will be removed. It will not be needed as there will be a significant improvement to drainage.

Hopefully I have answered your questions.

Thanks

Tim

From: Chris Hamm [REDACTED]
Sent: April 22, 2021 10:14 PM
To: Tim Del Greco <tdelgreco@kingsville.ca>
Subject: 1345 Road 2 East

Hi Tim

Couple of questions I would like you to answer.

Am I going to be paying more in Taxes because of this? If so how much? Stop raising the taxes every year and please be responsible!

Where are the lights going to be? I did not see them on the plan or did I miss them? I Don't want any by my place please.

What is happening with the culvert drain over the driveway that I was force to install when I built the house? Are you removing it?

Chris Hamm