

Appendix C

From: [Peter Incitti](#)
To: [Tim Del Greco](#)
Subject: Jasperson Drive Road Reconstruction
Date: April 6, 2021 10:17:32 AM

Good Morning ,

We are a local resident living on Cherrywood Dr and our backyard is Jasperson. I have a concern regarding the cement sidewalk being removed and asphalt being replaced after . We are not in agreement with this . We would like to have concrete **not ASPHALT** . Also requesting the iron fence along from Applewood to Peachwood like the houses from Peachwood to Woodycrest.

Thank you

Peter /Lucy Incitti

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tim Del Greco](#)
Subject: Jasperson Road Reconstruction
Date: April 11, 2021 12:18:25 PM

Hello Tim,

My fiancée Alyssa Ambrose and I own our home at 90 Jasperson dr. Kingsville. We wanted to bring up a couple of concerns regarding the road reconstruction.

We noticed that one of our mature trees is to be removed according to the drawings, it doesn't appear to be in the path of the new sidewalk though. Will this tree be replaced with another tree?

Another point we wanted to bring up is, we have irrigation lines and 3 sprinkler heads located in the area where the new sidewalk will be. Will the irrigation lines be reconfiguring and relocate the 3 heads? If the home is responsible for this, will the home owner be compensated?

We are not in favour of a new sidewalk being installed on the east side. We just think that when the high school children start walking to and from Taco Bell, McDonalds etc their trash will ultimately be tossed on homeowners lawns. We would rather see trash cans installed on the west side existing bike/walking path and not install a sidewalk on the east side at all. We had submitted these comments at the open house as other home owners on Jasperson about this concern greater than 1yr ago.

We had also suggested a connecting access road to Kratz side road to the new school to alleviate the traffic on Jasperson. Jasperson is already busy as it is without the mega school, drivers treat it like a speedway.

Thankyou for your time.

John Hamilton & Alyssa Ambrose

Good afternoon gentlemen,

Please consider my comments relating to the Jasperson Drive Reconstruction Project. My comments are on the proposed new Street Lighting and the intersection at Jasperson and Main Street.

Lighting

In June 2021, Kingsville Administration will be presenting to Council a Draft Lighting By-law which as intended will cover all lighting sources other than those covered in Lighting and Odour Nuisance By-law 96-2020. Included in the New By-law as expected will be proper Lighting Standards for Street lights. I believe Administration must review all available data concerning Lighting intensity, spectral content/colour and use of additional shielding in order to direct light to the roadway/sidewalk only to prevent Light Trespass onto the Residential properties along Jasperson Drive. This application may fall outside some of the Standard RP-8 Specifications. Kingsville is lacking proper shielding of the current Street Lamps in service and this would be an opportune time to begin to make corrections.

The International Dark-Sky Association adopted a new Resolution with new guidelines and recommendations on January 28 2021. One of the recommendations is for spectral content/colour to be no greater than 2200K CCT. I have attached the link to the Resolution and I draw your attention to Note 2 regarding "blue light" emissions. Since Kingsville currently references the IDA in Development documents, it is my opinion that Kingsville must be aware of and strive to adopt their latest findings.

<https://www.darksky.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Values-Centered-Outdoor-Lighting-Resolution.pdf>

[International Dark-Sky Association Board Policy on the Application of the Lighting Principles Adopted January 28, 2021 - International Dark Sky Association | International Dark-Sky Association](#)

International Dark-Sky Association Board Policy on the Application of the Lighting Principles Adopted January 28, 2021 Whereas , light pollution is defined by IDA as any adverse impact or effect attributable to the use of

www.darksky.org

Jasperson and Main Street Intersection

It is my suggestion that the Town investigate the possibility of building an Elevated intersection at this location. The Elevated portion would allow for through traffic entering and leaving Kingsville to be unimpeded by the Jasperson intersection. One way service roads on each side of would service the businesses on the South and North sides. Beneath the overpass would be U-turn lanes to allow for traffic redirection East and

West. There may possibly be no need for traffic lights as traffic flow could easily be controlled by signage (stop,yield,one-way). The idea is to keep traffic flowing and thereby reducing the stacking issue. This will also serve to provide reduced transit times with future housing developments planned for this corridor. I expect a round-about will be required, possibly at the Kratz Sideroad to allow traffic to be redirected West, back into Town. Another option at the East end would be to have a traffic light to allow drives to make a U-turn from the South Service Road back to the North Service Road. Construction will require the width of four full lanes (two elevated and two at grade). It appears any land required may be available along either side of Main Street. I also understand there may be a process in place where land is being requested for a Service Road behind Tim Hortons. At this time, I am not aware of the costs of expropriations for either option.

I have experienced this type of Elevated Road/Overpass System in my travels to Texas. It works very well there. It is very efficient with many Towns being connected by a system of Service Roads and a Major State Highway # 2/83 without the use of "Cloverleaves". I have attached a Google Map link to Palmview Texas for your perusal. I do understand this may be a costly endeavour, but it may also be a somewhat permanent solution to the business overgrowth and ever-increasing traffic congestion in East Kingsville.

Respectfully submitted by,

Bill Jamieson

S Bentsen Palm Drive and Interstate 83, Palmview TX.

<https://www.google.ca/maps/place/S+Bentsen+Palm+Dr,+Palmview,+TX+78572,+USA/@26.2326192,-98.3718632,669m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x8665a9e46f078b7f:0xaba002c14af7f6c6!8m2!3d26.2233078!4d-98.3725391>

From:

Subject:

Date:

RE: The positioning of the sidewalk in Phase Three of the Jasperson Dr. Construction

April 15, 2021 11:23:00 PM

Hi Joe, thanks for the email.

I can provide some brief information. The Kingsville Development Standards Manual specifies sidewalks are to be 1.5m wide and separated from curb to provide increased space between vehicles and pedestrians (improved safety). The manual also specifies sidewalk can be against curb, however in those cases, the sidewalk is to be increased to 1.8m wide (again to provide more separation from the road and improved safety).

In the case of Jasperson, the difference between the two options is approximately 28". Meaning if the sidewalk is shifted to back of curb, the sidewalk will be 28 inches further away from the homes compared to what is currently proposed. The extra cost for constructing the wider sidewalk and relocating conflicting Bell infrastructure is approximately \$20,000. Granted, if some of the trees are saved, then that will save a few thousand dollars in tree removal costs.

I will attach your email along with the others received to my report for Council's consideration.

Take care.

Tim

From:

Sent: April 15, 2021 10:14 PM

To: Tim Del Greco <tdelgreco@kingsville.ca>

Subject: The positioning of the sidewalk in Phase Three of the Jasperson Dr. Construction

Dear Tim

We, the undersigned, have concerns over the proposed placement of the sidewalk on the east side of Jasperson Dr. as planned for Phase three of the Jasperson Reconstruction Project. We would like due consideration to be given for the placement of the side walk to be next to the curb of the street and have the plan for a boulevard to be removed.

One of our concerns is the loss of driveway depth. Some of the houses in this construction area

have multiple vehicles that park in the driveways daily. If the proposed sidewalks are put in place, the space available to park will be greatly reduced. The sidewalk would cross where some of the vehicles now park resulting in a bi-law infraction of not blocking sidewalks.

Another concern is the loss of shade trees. It is understood that some trees will have to be removed, but if the loss of mature trees could be mitigated, it would be a benefit to the home owners and the curbside appeal of the street.

A third concern is the encroachment of the public close to the private residences. As there is a school planned to be built north of the Phase Three area, it is inevitable that the school population will be using the sidewalks to go to and from school in the mornings and evenings. There is sure to be a substantial crowd as well, that will be going to the local restaurants during their lunch breaks. We would like to keep the walking public at a greater distance than what the current plan allows.

The positioning of curbside sidewalks on one side of the street and multi-use paths on the opposite sides of the street is not a new proposal for the Town of Kingsville. Instances that currently exist are Harold Cull Dr. and Prince Albert Dr. More notably, for its volume of traffic, would be Division Rd. North between County Rd 2 and Palmer Dr.

We appreciate in advance your consideration of this request and look forward to your reply.

Joe Wilds
56 Jasperson Dr

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

In support of the above proposal. All of the following have given their verbal support and have received copies of this document, either by email CC, or a hand delivered hard copy where an email address was not available. The list below constitutes all property owners on the east side of Jasperson where the proposed sidewalk is to be constructed.

Paul Meleg
62 Jasperson Dr.

[REDACTED]

Matt McCracken
64 Jasperson Dr

[REDACTED]

Marianne Lafleur
82 Jasperson Dr.

[REDACTED]

James Gaffan
98 Jasperson Dr.

[REDACTED]

John Hamilton
90 Jasperson Dr.

[REDACTED]

Andrew and Marion Dodge
1576 Jasperson Rd.
No email address

Bill Goddard
1588 Jasperson Dr

[REDACTED]

Sinisa Lurnja
1590 Jasperson Dr



Anne Horne
74 Jasperson Dr
No Email Address

Joe Mavro and Joe Mavro Jr.
20, 24, 28, 32 & 40 Jasperson Dr



Ardelle Hoyer
1596 Jasperson Dr
No Email Address