Appendix C - ERCA Comment

Essex Region Conservation

the place for life



August 21, 2020

planning@erca.org P.519.776.5209 F.519.776.8688 360 Fairview Avenue West Suite 311, Essex, ON N8M 1Y6

Mr. Robert Brown, Manager of Planning Services Planning & Development Services Department The Corporation of the Town of Kingsville 2021 Division Road North Kingsville Ontario, N9Y 2Y9

Dear Mr. Brown:

RE: Zoning By-Law Amendment ZBA-10-2020 1771 TALBOT RD ARN 371129000022200; PIN: 751430161 Applicant: 617812 ONTARIO LIMITED

The following is provided as a result of our review of Zoning By-Law Amendment ZBA-10-2020. The subject property is currently zoned Rural Commercial, (C6) under the Kingsville Comprehensive Zoning By-law. The property in question has been recent sold due to the closing of the existing business. The application is seeking to convert the existing building for use as a church. The purchaser has asked that the current commercial zoning be retained and church be added as an additional permitted use.

DELEGATED RESPONSIBILITY TO REPRESENT THE PROVINCIAL INTEREST IN NATURAL HAZARDS AND REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES ACT

The following comments reflect our role as representing the provincial interest in natural hazards as outlined by Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement of the *Planning Act* as well as our regulatory role as defined by Section 28 of the *Conservation Authorities Act*.

We have reviewed our floodline mapping for this area and it has been determined this site is not located within a regulated area that is under the jurisdiction of the ERCA (Section 28 of the *Conservation Authorities Act*). As a result, a permit is not required from ERCA for issues related to Section 28 of the *Conservation Authorities Act*, Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alteration to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation under the *Conservations Authorities Act*, (Ontario Regulation No. 158/06).

We have no objections to the application with respect to our natural hazards or regulatory perspective.

WATERSHED BASED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

The following comments are provided in an advisory capacity as a public commenting body on matters related to watershed management.

SECTION 1.6.6.7 Stormwater Management (PPS, 2020)



Page 1 of 2

Amherstburg / Essex / Kingsville / Lakeshore / LaSalle / Leamington / Pelee Island / Tecumseh / Windsor

Mr. Brown August 21, 2020

Our office has reviewed the proposal and has no concerns relating to stormwater management.

PLANNING ADVISORY SERVICE TO MUNICIPALITIES - NATURAL HERITAGE POLICIES OF THE PPS

The following comments are provided from our perspective as a service provider to the Municipality on matters related to natural heritage and natural heritage systems as outlined in Section 2.1 of the PPS. The comments in this section do not necessarily represent the provincial position and are advisory in nature for the consideration of the Municipality as the planning authority.

The subject property is not within or adjacent to any natural heritage feature that may meet the criteria for significance as defined by the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS). Based on our review, we have no objection to the application with respect to natural heritage policies.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Therefore, we have no objections to this application.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Mile helon

Michael Nelson, BSc, MSc (Planning) Watershed Planner /mn



Page 2 of 2