the place for life

September 05, 2019



planning@erca.org P.519.776.5209 F.519.776.8688 360 Fairview Avenue West Suite 311, Essex, ON N8M 1Y6

Mr. Robert Brown, Manager of Planning Services Planning & Development Services Department The Corporation of the Town of Kingsville 2021 Division Road North Kingsville Ontario, N9Y 2Y9

Dear Mr. Brown:

RE: <u>Application for Site Plan Control SPA-20-19</u> <u>1532, 1534, & 1538 COUNTY RD 34 E & 1611 ROAD 4 E</u> <u>ARN 371134000007000 371134000006900 371134000007200,</u> <u>371134000007205; PIN: 751470054, 751470056, 751470053</u> <u>Applicant: Sun-Brite Canning Ltd.</u>

The following is provided as a result of our review of Application for Site Plan Control SPA-20-19. We understand that the applicant is proposing to construct a new 9,267 square metre warehouse, new access and driveway, with a gravel loading/ parking area.

DELEGATED RESPONSIBILITY TO REPRESENT PROVINCIAL INTEREST IN NATURAL HAZARDS (PPS, 2014) AND REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES ACT

The following comments reflect our role as representing the provincial interest in natural hazards encompassed by Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement of the Planning Act as well as our regulatory role as defined by Section 28 of the Conservation Authorities Act.

The above noted lands are subject to our Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alteration to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation under the *Conservation Authorities Act* (Ontario Regulation No. 158/06). The parcel falls within the regulated area of the 4th Concession Branch of the Extension to East 3rd Concession Drain. The property owner will be required to obtain a Permit and/or Clearance from the Essex Region Conservation Authority prior to any construction or site alteration or other activities affected by the regulations.

WATERSHED BASED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

The following comments are provided in an advisory capacity as a public commenting body on matters related to watershed management.

SECTION 1.6.6.7 Stormwater Management (PPS, 2014)



Page 1 of 3

Amherstburg / Essex / Kingsville / Lakeshore / LaSalle / Leamington / Pelee Island / Tecumseh / Windsor

Mr. Brown September 05, 2019

We are concerned with the potential impact of the quality and quantity of runoff in the downstream watercourse due to future development on this site. We therefore request inclusion of the following conditions in the Site Plan Control Agreement:

1. That the developer undertakes an engineering analysis to identify stormwater quality and quantity measures as necessary to control any increases in flows in downstream watercourses up to and including the 1:100 year design storm, and that the stormwater management design be in compliance with the Windsor-Essex Stormwater Management Standards Manual, to the satisfaction of the Municipality and the Essex Region Conservation Authority.

2. That the developer installs stormwater management measures identified above, as part of the development of the site, to the satisfaction of the Municipality and the Essex Region Conservation Authority.

3. That the developer obtains the necessary permit or clearance from the Essex Region Conservation Authority prior to undertaking site alterations and/or construction activities.

We would advise the owners to submit an Application for Permit to this office for review. Submission of the application should be directed to the attention of Ms. Lisa Pavan, Administrative Associate: Watershed Management Services (<u>lpavan@erca.org</u>) or by telephone at 519-776-5209 ext. 346. An Application for Permit and our current fee schedule is available on our website <u>www.erca.org</u>.

SECTION 2.2 Water (PPS, 2014)

The subject property is located within a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA). Section 2.2.1 of the PPS 2014 states that: "Planning authorities shall protect, improve or restore the quality and quantity of water by: d) maintaining linkages and related functions among ground water features, hydrologic functions, natural heritage features and areas and surface water features including shoreline areas" and "e) implementing necessary restrictions on development and site alteration to:

1. protect all municipal drinking water supplies and designated vulnerable areas; and

2. protect, improve or restore vulnerable surface and ground water, sensitive surface water features and sensitive ground water features, and their hydrologic functions".

In addition to the policies of the PPS 2014, the County of Essex Official Plan identifies Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRA) on Schedule C5. Section 2.5.2 b) of the County of Essex Official Plan states that: "Development and site alteration that may be a significant threat will only be permitted within an HVA or SGRA where it has been demonstrated by way of the preparation of a groundwater impact assessment that there will be no negative impact on the HVA or SGRA". The Essex Chatham Kent Groundwater Study was completed in 2004 by Dillon Consulting Ltd and Golder Associates Ltd, which delineated the highly vulnerable aquifers and significant recharge areas and provides background information for any further

water budget or hydrologic study requirements.



Page 2 of 3

Amherstburg / Essex / Kingsville / Lakeshore / LaSalle / Leamington / Pelee Island / Tecumseh / Windsor

Mr. Brown September 05, 2019

We recommend inclusion of the following condition of approval:

That the developer undertake a groundwater impact assessment to address the Significant Ground Water Recharge area associated with the proposed project to the satisfaction of the Municipality and the Essex Region Conservation Authority.

PLANNING ADVISORY SERVICE TO MUNICIPALITIES - NATURAL HERITAGE POLICIES OF THE PPS, 2014

The following comments are provided from our perspective as a service provider to the Municipality on matters related to natural heritage and natural heritage systems. The comments in this section do not necessarily represent the provincial position and are advisory in nature for the consideration of the Municipality as the planning authority.

The subject property is not within or adjacent to any natural heritage feature that may meet the criteria for significance under the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS 2014). Based on our review, we have no objection to the application with respect to natural heritage policies.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely

Corinne Chiasson Resource Planner /cor



Page 3 of 3