

Council;

APR 17/19

I am asking for delegate status for the April 23, 2019 meeting. I would like to discuss concerns regarding the Watson Report, (on the agenda on March 25th, 2019) and the budget numbers, relative to LSW sewage and Cottam Sewage.

Watson Report:

2018 LSW Base Charge (\$332.00) x Residential (4604) = \$1,528,528.00

2018 Budget:

2018 LSW;	G.S. Sewage	\$402,274.00
	G.S. Sewage - Ruthven	\$226,774.00
	Kingsville Sewage	<u>\$857,350.00</u>
		\$ 1,486,387.00

Delta: Shortfall of \$42,141 reported in 2018 budget vs. Watson Report

In Summary: Budget figures show shortfall of \$42,141 on residential amount actually collected from flat rate. This is not affected by flow rate despite mayor's implications of such in meeting on March 25, 2019. In addition there are no funds showing collected for semi-detached units, apartments, commercial buildings, schools (4), nursing homes, industrial buildings and greenhouses. Where do the mayor and administration account for these funds in the 2018 budget?

Watson Report:

2019 Forecasted LSW Base Charge (\$354.24) x Residential (4660) = \$1,650,758.40

2019 Budget:

2019 Forecasted LSW;	G.S. Sewage	\$555,000.00
	G.S. Sewage - Ruthven	\$297,000.00
	Kingsville Sewage	<u>\$1,184,000.00</u>
		\$ 2,036,000.00

Delta: Difference of \$385,242.00.

In Summary: How does the \$385,242.00 account for the semi-detached units, apartments, commercial buildings, schools (4), nursing homes, industrial buildings and greenhouses? Any funds collected over and above residential were said to be at a higher rate than residential, however these budgets numbers do not reflect that.

OVER →

Cottam Waste Water

Watson Report:

2019 Forecasted Cottam Base Charge (\$355.32) x Residential (566) = \$201,111.12

2019 Budget:

2019 Forecasted Cottam; G.N. Sewage	\$213,000.00
	\$ 213,000.00

Delta: *Difference of \$11,888.88. Is this what has been collected for semi-detached, apartments, commercial / industrial and the school? Additionally do we have greenhouse waste coming into Cottam lagoon?*

In Summary:

- * 2010 Assessment based on 574 customers, which was proven incorrect on February 11, 2019 public meeting
 - * In agenda of 2012 council meeting number given was a customer base of 600
 - * 600 x annual rate has been the formula used every year since 2012
 - * No extra funds shown after school came into system
 - * No change to budget after homes built on Fox Street
 - * Sewage has been extended to Belle River south area, approximately 18 homes built
- Budget does not reflect any of these additional homes added. R 27 S*

In Closing:

Since 2008 there has been \$13,000,000 collected for the Cottam project through the Cottam budget and assessment.

With that being considered, I do not believe that Cottam rate payers should still have to incur the million dollar debt.

There needs to be accountability to complete projects within budget and a limit on over charges for any project.

Inaccurate information on the budget, specifically sewage, needs to be addressed.

Is it the mayor and administration's goal to have only residential sewage cover budget and also bill reserve?

COTTAM PROJECT: COTTAM LAGOON PROJECT

*Thank you
James Wang*