

APPENDIX F

Robert Brown

From: John Morand <morandjb@gmail.com>
Sent: October-21-18 10:10 AM
To: Robert Brown
Subject: Re: Zoning By-Law Amendment ZBA/25/18 (Sec.34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, C.P. 13)

Attention: Robert Brown

I am opposed to this amendment and any development of this property at this time. My concern is over the impact that this proposal will have on storm and sanitary sewers as well as adding to further traffic congestion on Main Street.

I am disappointed and concerned over the development proceeding east of the high school. This was a bad decision. Furthermore, there are plans to relocate the present high school and the future development of the vacated property will present concerns.

Are these identified in the town master plan? A review and revision should project a long term vision and incremental amendments or deviations should not be taken lightly.

Let us not repeat mistakes made in the past. In particular, I cite the developments on the lake front at the foot of Lansdowne Ave. and Division Street. Those dwellings should not have been permitted and the property should have been reserved for park space.

Similarly, preserving the property subject to this amendment as green, forested park space should be given serious consideration.

John Morand
66 Augustine Drive,
Kingsville, Ontario
N9Y 1C5

Robert Brown

From: noreply@kingsville.ca on behalf of Henry Van Vliet 519-733-3764
<havanvliet@cogeco.ca>
Sent: October-11-18 1:48 PM
To: Robert Brown
Subject: 140 Main St. E. Kingsville

I am writing you regarding correspondence being circulated about an application for rezoning of the above property. This property was the subject of a similar application for rezoning about a year ago. I do not have a copy of this letter but, understand that it is for commercial and residential multiple units. We are strongly against this, as issues of traffic flow, sanitary sewage disposal and storm drainage control have not been addressed by the town. We live on 29 Santos Dr., and are greatly concerned about traffic congestion, especially in the morning and early afternoon. We find it difficult to make a left turn onto Main St. Also storm drainage is an issue, especially with the amount of rainfall that we have been getting in very short period of time. Look at the recent problem in Amherstburg. We on Santos, do not want to have to pay to have a new storm drain installed like the residents on Wigle a few years ago. The correspondence calls for one building as a start with additional building planned in the future. We feel that the whole package should be looked at. Not just the initial stage, as the next stage will not have the need for further notice to the neighbours. We know all about changes made to the original application for zoning of the Dental Centre afterwards which were done. ie size almost doubled. Trees cut down which were supposed to stay.

Please advise why the Town has not notified us of this meeting which is supposed to be held on Oct. 23, 2018. What options, as nearby residents, do we have? Can you circulate this to our councillors? Thanks

Origin: <https://www.kingsville.ca/en/do-business/building-and-planning.aspx>

This email was sent to you by Henry Van Vliet 519-733-3764<havanvliet@cogeco.ca> through <https://www.kingsville.ca/>.

Robert Brown

From: bob lane <bob.lane@cogeco.ca>
Sent: October-05-18 1:07 PM
To: Robert Brown
Subject: Zoning bylaw amendment ZBA/25/18 Jeremy Capussi 140 Main Street E.

I have received the notice of the open house of the advisory committee meeting on October 23rd. I was not notified of the June open house.

My wife and I own the adjoining property at 45 Spruce St. N.. At the time I purchased the property at the rear in the 1970s I had access by way of the extension of Cherry Lane, but the council at the time gave that to the property owner at the end of the Lane. This left my 2.2 acre property with no access to any road except through my lot at 45 Spruce, which has only 43 feet of frontage, of which my house takes up 30 feet or more. When Jerry Capussi bought the Thomas girls property on Main Street, he contacted me about buying the rear section of my property to combine with his, which would make about 5 acres and presumably would be sufficient to develop. I told him I would sell the rear of my property, but not for the \$30,000.00 he was offering. He said he would get back to me but never has.

It would seem to me to be bad planning to land lock 2 acres in the middle of the town when it has access to other property being considered for development. I have another meeting on October 23rd so I don't know whether I can make to open house.

I would appreciate your comments as to why my property has been left out of the plans.

Robert Lane
45 Spruce St. N.
519 733-2690



Virus-free. www.avast.com